

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/902,923 | 07/10/2001 | Paul S. Enfield | P113836 | 9260 |
| 22931 HUGHES LAV | 7590 04/13/200 W FIRM, PLLC | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PACIFIC MERIDIAN PLAZA, SUITE 302 4164 MERIDIAN STREET BELLINGHAM, WA 98226-5583 | | | GORT, ELAINE L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3687 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| | 09/902,923 | ENFIELD, PAUL S. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | |
| | Elaine Gort | 3687 |

| | Elaine Gort | 3687 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A to nevent, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance of FR 1.114. The reply must be filed wo of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow in the final rejection, whi | hich places the (3) a Request ving time chever is later. In |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of sunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office are approximately as the control of the set of the set may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 April 2009</u>. A brief ir date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 | (e)), to avoid dismiss | al of the appeal. |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying the | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co. | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324) |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | ., | , . |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | • | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| Il The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | * | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | | |
| | /Elaine Gort/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3687 | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has submitted a third declaration under 37 CFR 1.132. It would be helpful to the Examiner if Applicant could provide more detailed information regarding sales of the "dry grocery" goods that had increased sales during the trial period from October 2000 to January 2001. It is at question as to what specific products actually had increased sales as some terms are much more seasonable then others, such as baking goods over the winter and holiday time of year. Additionally if Applicant can provide expected sales increases from having just a typical advertising posted in a store, such as the increased sales due to having a "Coke" banner located near the softdirink isle this data would be useful for convincing the Examiner that the increased sales are due to the directory having the logos on them and not just standard localized store advertising. Examiner notes that the "Grimm Faces..." reference will be added to the record if subsequent prosecution exists on this case that would require a further office action or appeal brief. Feel free to call to discuss the declaration or appeal brief. Feel free to call to discuss the declaration or appeal brief. Feel free to call to discuss the declaration or appeal brief. Feel free to call to discuss the declaration or appeal brief. Feel free to call to discuss the declaration or appeal brief. Feel free to call to discuss the declaration or appeal to the province of the province of